

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS OFFICE OF THE CLERK

David A. O'Toole 211 West Ferguson Tyler, TX 75702

October 20, 2021

**Todd Kennedy** 

Clerk of Clerk

Gutride Safier LLP 100 Pine Street Suite 1250 San Francisco, CA 94111

## **Robert Christopher Bunt**

Parker Bunt & Ainsworth 100 E Ferguson Suite 418 Tyler, TX 75702

## **Charles Ainsworth**

Parker Bunt & Ainsworth 100 E Ferguson Suite 418 Tyler, TX 75702

> In re: Innovative Automation LLC V. Disney Online Inc. 2:15-cy-1336

Dear Counsel and Parties,

I have been contacted by Judge Rodney Gilstrap who presided over the abovementioned case.

Judge Gilstrap informed me that it has been brought to his attention that while he presided over the case, he owned stock in The Walt Disney Company and Disney Online Inc. is a subsidiary of that parent company. His ownership of stock neither affected nor impacted his decisions in this case. In fact, this case was dismissed by Magistrate Judge Payne prior to any action being taken by Judge Gilstrap. However, his stock ownership would have required recusal under the Code of Conduct for United States Judges, and thus, Judge Gilstrap has directed that I notify the parties of the conflict.

Advisory Opinion 71, from the Judicial Conference Codes of Conduct Committee, provides the following guidance for addressing disqualification that is not discovered until after a judge has participated in a case:

[A] judge should disclose to the parties the facts bearing on disqualification as soon as those facts are learned, even though that may occur after entry of the decision. The parties may then determine what relief they may seek and a court (without the disqualified judge) will decide the legal consequence, if any, arising from the participation of the disqualified judge in the entered decision.

Although Advisory Opinion 71 contemplated disqualification after a Court of Appeals oral argument, the Committee explained "[s]imilar considerations would apply when a judgment was entered in a district court by a judge and it is later learned that the judge was disqualified."

With Advisory Opinion 71 in mind, you are invited to respond to Judge Gilstrap's disclosure of a conflict in this case. Should you wish to respond, please submit your response on or before thirty (30) days from this date. Any response will be considered by another judge of this court without the participation of Judge Gilstrap.

Sincerely,

Danid A. O' foole

David A. O'Toole Clerk of Court